

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

22440 e 04/12/2010

GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC 270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016-0601

Paper No.

Application No.:	10/597,291	Date Mailed:	04/12/2010
First Named Inventor:	Farrugia, Steven, Paul	Examiner:	MATTER, KRISTEN CLARETTE
Attorney Docket No.:	3869/030 US	Art Unit:	3771
Confirmation No.:	8885	Filing Date:	07/19/2006

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Annlicant(s)			
NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES		10/597,291		FARRUGIA, STEVEN PAUL			
		10/597,291	Art Unit	EVEN PAUL			
			3600				
The excess claim(s) filed on 25 March, 2010 is not accompanied by the appropriate payment of excess claims fees set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claims fees are required for each claim in independent form in excess of three (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or independent) in excess of twenty (note that § 1.75(c) indicates how multiple dependent claims are considered for fee calculation purposes) (§ 1.16(i)), and each application that contains a multiple dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).							
Since the application is not under a final rejection, applicant is given a time period of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, to submit either: (1) the fee payment of \$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							
The funds in Deposit Account No. are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.							
□ 2.	2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.						
☑ 3.	. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.						
□ 4.	4. The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).						
☐ 5. Other.							
Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):							
1.16, 1.2 WITH IS SUB. AVAIL Service charged deposit	MOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CH 21 & 1.492). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY JECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT ABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: http://www.charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). The account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 Cical Support Staff (TSS): /ERIC DANTZLER/	E IS DETERMINED THE OFFICE (37 C) Γ APPLICANT CHEC w.uspto.gov/web/offic g each payment refuse ere is a \$25.00 service FR 1.21(b)(2)).	AS OF THE DATE A COMPLE FR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE ACK THE CURRENT FEE SCHED (es/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm) ed (including a check returned "unp	ETE REPLY AMOUNT DUE ULE WHICH IS paid") or			

Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.